

School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2019/20

School-based Grant - Programme Report

Name of School: Shatin Tsung Tsin Secondary School

Application Number: 1184

Project Name: School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes

A. Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.

1. Financial Report:

<u>2018/19</u> <u>Remained Amount(i)</u>	<u>2019/20</u> <u>Grant(ii)</u>	<u>2019/20</u> <u>Total Expenses (iii)</u>	<u>(i)+(ii)-(iii)</u> <u>Remained Amount(iv)</u>
164.8	\$100,800	\$76012.4	24952.4

2. Number of Participating Eligible Students

The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under the Grant			
(a) CSSA recipients: 40	(b) SFAS full-grant recipients: 149	(c) Under school's discretionary quota: 0	(d) Total [a+b+c] : 189

B. Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.

	*Name / Type of activity	Usages (e.g. Tutor fee, Traffic allowance)	Actual expenses	Actual no. of participating eligible students
1.	Educational Exchange Tour cum Mathematical Modelling Tournament in Moscow	Tour fee	\$1,000.0	1
2.	Elementary Leadership Training Program	Tutor fee	\$1,380.0	3
3.	S1 Life Education Camp	Camp fee	\$8,820.0	21
4.	S6 English Speaking Enhancement Class	Tutor fee	\$168.9	3
5.	Career Experiential Activities	Tutor fee	\$928.0	10
6.	Visit Ocean Park	Traffic allowance	\$380.0	4
7.	S2 Experiential Activities (Farming)	Traffic allowance	\$294.0	4
8.	Dancing School Team (1 st term)	Tutor fee	\$3,000.0	3
9.	Wild Camp Activity	Camp fee	\$700.0	7
10.	S1 Mathematics Olympiad Training Programme	Tutor fee	\$1,248.0	4
11.	S2 Mathematics Olympiad Training Programme	Tutor fee	\$439.0	1
12.	Interview workshop	Tutor fee	\$735.0	21
13.	Career Experiential Activities	Tutor fee	\$649.6	7
14.	Geography Field Trip	Traffic allowance	\$327.0	6
15.	Make Ceramic Together	Traffic allowance	\$60.0	3
16.	S.2 Mathematics Remedial Class (Phase 1)	Tutor fee	\$408.0	3
17.	S.3 Mathematics Remedial Class(Phase 1)	Tutor fee	\$511.2	3
18.	Drama School Team	Tutor fee	\$5000.0	5
19.	Students Union Overnight Camp (S1-S5)	Camp fee	\$450.0	6
20.	Students Union Overnight Camp (Exco members)	Camp fee	\$75.0	2
21.	71 th Speech Festival	Application fee	\$1490.0	11
22.	Fabric Art Club	Tutor fee	\$1412.8	8
23.	Leather Craft Club	Tutor fee	\$309.1	1

24.	Ceramic Throwing Club	Tutor fee	\$291.6	1
25.	Chinese Calligraphy Club	Tutor fee	\$322.9	1
26.	Computer Drawing Club	Tutor fee	\$777.7	7
27.	Photography Club	Tutor fee	\$712.4	2
28.	Visual Arts School Team (Junior)	Tutor fee	\$285.6	3
29.	School Debate Team	Tutor fee	\$2136.6	4
30.	Music Instrument Classes	Tutor fee	\$41700.0	34
			\$76012.4	189

Signature:

Mak

Name:

Ms Mak Lai Ching

Post:

Chairperson of Students Affairs Group

Name of School:

Shatin Tsung Tsin Secondary School

Date:

15-10-2020



C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

Please put a “✓” against the most appropriate box.	Improved			No Change	Declining	Not Applicable
	Significant	Moderate	Slight			
Learning Effectiveness						
a) Students’ motivation for learning	✓					
b) Students’ study skills	✓					
c) Students’ academic achievement	✓					
d) Students’ learning experience outside classroom	✓					
e) Your overall view on students’ learning effectiveness	✓					
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students’ self-esteem	✓					
g) Students’ self-management skills	✓					
h) Students’ social skills		✓				
i) Students’ interpersonal skills		✓				
j) Students’ cooperativeness with others	✓					
k) Students’ attitudes toward schooling	✓					
l) Students’ outlook on life	✓					
m) Your overall view on students’ personal and social development	✓					
Community Involvement						
n) Students’ participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities						✓
o) Students’ sense of belonging						✓
p) Students’ understanding on the community						✓
q) Your overall view on students’ community involvement						✓

D. Comments on the project conducted

Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project (You may tick more than one box)

- ☐ unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant);
- ☐ difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota;
- ☐ eligible students unwilling to join the programmes (Please specify: _____);
- ☐ the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;
- ☐ tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;
- ☐ the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;
- ☐ complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;
- ☐ the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;
- ☐ Others (Please specify): _____

**E. Do you have any feedback from students and their parents?
Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)**
